

REPUBLICAN PARTY  
LEGISLATIVE DISTRICT ELEVEN  
"The Heartland District"

February 14, 2006

Regular Scheduled Meeting  
Second Tuesday of the Month

Arizona American Italian Club  
7509 North 12th Street, Phoenix

Buffet Dinner, Lounge  
6:00 p.m.

Meeting, Large Hall  
7:00 p.m.

Chairman, Rob Haney  
1st Vice Chairman, John Acer  
2nd Vice Chairman, Don Hesselbrock  
Treasurer, George Teegarden  
Corresponding Secretary, Carolyn Berta  
Recording Secretary, Charlotte Reed

**Dinner Reservations:** Telephone Roe Sansone, 602-996-1004,  
advise number of persons for dinner. Ten dollars (\$10), at the door.

**REPUBLICAN PARTY**  
**LEGISLATIVE DISTRICT ELEVEN**  
**"The Heartland District"**

February 14, 2006

AGENDA

Call to Order 7:00 p.m.

Invocation and Pledge

Introduction of Elected officials and guests Chairman

Chairman's No Spin Zone Chairman  
Report on Republican State Mandatory Meeting

Report on Republican County Mandatory Meeting

Report on the Executive Guidance Committee Meeting of the MCRC

Legislators Reports Senator Barbara Leff  
Rep Steve Tully  
Rep. John Allen

National Committeeman Report Randy Pullen

He will give update on the January Republican National Committee meeting which made national headlines. (See also attached article.)

Old Business  
New Business

One minute remarks from PCs

Closing Remarks by Chairman

Announcements: The Chairman will announce that your petition forms to run for Precinct Committeeman in the September primary will be available along with new walk lists for your precinct.

Adjournment

**Condolences** to Precinct Committeeman Becky Fenger whose husband Dr. John Fenger passed away at Good Samaritan Hospital Tuesday afternoon after a short illness. At the request of the deceased, there will be no public viewing or services. If anyone wishes to send Becky a card, her address is 6525 N. 36<sup>th</sup> Street, Phoenix, AZ 85018.

# Bush is kicking away his base

By Phyllis Schlafly  
Jan 30, 2006

The conservative movement that elected Ronald Reagan twice, George H.W. Bush once, and George W. Bush II twice, is essentially a movement of grass-roots who don't like to take orders from the top and who revolt when they believe they are betrayed or bossed by those they elected. That's why the grass roots abandoned the first George Bush when he reneged on his "no new taxes, read my lips" promise.

The tough political tactics used by union bosses and Democratic machine bosses simply don't sit well with conservative Republicans. Resentment against the current Bush administration is still festering about the combination of threats and bribes that pushed through close votes in Congress to pass the costly Medicare prescription drug bill in 2003 and Central American Trade Agreement in 2004.

Maybe the intra-party divisions between fiscal vs. Big Government conservatives that lay behind the former battle, and between pro vs. anti free-traders in the latter battle, were evenly balanced enough that the Bush administration alienated only a handful of Republicans. But in demanding a guest-worker plan that smacks of amnesty, the Bush administration is taking the unpopular side of a party division that is at least 80-20.

In December, the House passed a border-security bill authored by House Judiciary Committee Chairman James Sensenbrenner, R-Wis.. The bill rejected support for Bush's guest worker/amnesty plan. Since 88 percent of Republican House members voted for this bill, that should have been a wake-up call to the president.

Shortly thereafter, **Arizona Republican National Committee member Randy Pullen** gathered enough signatures to present a resolution to the Republican National Committee at its Jan. 19-20 meeting in Washington, D.C., which endorsed border security measures and opposed any guest worker plan.

A competing resolution endorsing border security plus a guest worker plan was floated by the RNC's Bill Crocker of Texas. After he realized the strong tide against guest workers, he began negotiating a compromise with Pullen, and one version of the compromise eliminated guest workers.

When the RNC resolutions committee met Jan. 19, the chairman, Idaho's Blake Hall, brought up the original Crocker resolution that included guest worker language. An attempt by one committeeman to substitute the Crocker-Pullen compromise was ruled out of order, and then a motion to remove the guest worker language was voted down 5 to 3.

That evening, the Bush administration sent in its big guns, Vice President Dick Cheney and Sen. Sam Brownback, R-Kan., to insist that RNC members support the guest worker plan or else they would be labeled disloyal and disrespectful of President Bush. Republican Party chairman Ken Mehlman made the rounds to

regional caucuses to demand approval of Bush's guest worker plan and defeat of the Pullen resolution.

At the RNC meeting on Jan. 20, the Hall-approved resolution was incorporated and passed as part of a package of nine resolutions in order to preclude a specific vote on the border security-guest worker issue. The Pullen resolution did not come up.

This donnybrook happened on the same day that the New York Times reported that 18,207 illegal immigrants from nations other than Mexico have been the beneficiaries of the Bush administration's scandalous "catch and release" procedure in the three months since Department of Homeland Security Secretary Michael Chertoff promised to "return every single illegal entrant - no exceptions." Catch and release means that the illegal immigrants from nations other than Mexico are not deported. But after they are apprehended, they are released on their own recognizance with instructions to reappear a few weeks later, with everybody understanding that they will disappear into the U.S. population. Also on the same day, Lou Dobbs reported on CNN-TV that Mexican troops are crossing our southern border twice a month in uniform, in military vehicles and carrying military weapons. The Bush administration's response to this invasion is don't ask, don't tell.

It's bad enough that President Bush is pursuing a vastly unpopular guest worker-amnesty plan, but the administration's bullying to prevent debate and a vote by the full Republican National Committee was intolerable. It forecasts the sort of intimidation we can anticipate in the upcoming Senate debate about Bush's guest worker plan.

Why are President Bush and Karl Rove so tone deaf on this issue? Some speculate that the Bush administration is in the pocket of big business lobbying interests that want the cheap labor made available by the government's failure to enforce our immigration laws.

Others speculate that Bush and Rove are hallucinating that Hispanics will vote Republican. That won't happen; Hispanics vote 55 to 75 percent Democratic because, since they are mostly in the low-income sector of our economy, they vote for the party that promises the social benefits of the welfare state, not for the party that pretends to support fiscal integrity and small government.

The administration-imposed RNC defeat of the majority view of Republicans is bad news for the 2006 congressional elections. Bush is alienating his political base and creating what one RNC member calls an "enthusiasm deficit." In the words of the old adage, elephants (i.e., conservative Republicans) never forget.

*Phyllis Schlafly is the President and Founder of the Eagle Forum.*

*Copyright © 2006 Copley News Service*

A Parliamentary Moment  
February 2006

### **Stopping the Progress of a Motion**

There may be an occasion when someone wants to interrupt the consideration of motion. The following two questions and answers pertain to the process for doing that. (Quoted from Robert's Rules of Order Newly Revised In Brief, p. 118)

*Must debate on a motion stop immediately as soon as any member calls the question?*

It is a fairly common misconception that, after debate has continued for some time, if any member shouts out "Question!" or "I call the question!", debate must immediately cease and the chair must put the pending question to a vote. This is simply not the case. Any member who wishes to force an end to debate must first obtain the floor by being duly recognized to speak by the chair, and must then move the *Previous Question*. Such a motion must be seconded, and then adopted by a two-thirds vote, or by unanimous consent. It is not in order to interrupt a speaker with cries of "Question" or "Call the Question," and even if no one is speaking, it is still necessary to seek recognition.

*Isn't it always in order to table a motion to the next meeting?*

This question confuses the motion to *Lay on the Table* with the motion to *Postpone to a Certain Time*. The purpose to *Lay on the Table* is to enable an assembly, by majority vote and without debate, to lay a pending question aside temporarily in order to take up something else of immediate urgency. In ordinary societies it is rarely needed, and hence is seldom in order.

You may remember that a *Previous Question* motion is neither amendable or debatable. A motion to *Postpone to a Certain Time* may be amended and debated and requires only a majority vote.

## REPUBLICAN PARTY

### LEGISLATIVE DISTRICT ELEVEN "The Heartland District"

#### Treasurer's Report for January 10<sup>th</sup> , 2006 meeting

	Item	Subtotal	Total
Bank Balance at end of Previous meeting			\$818.50
Club Expenses paid during previous month	37.72		
Club Income during previous month			
Balance before meeting			780.78
Income This Meeting:			
Dinners Sold: @ \$10.00 ea	30.00	300.00	
Less Cost (25 minimum) @ \$7.50 ea		225.00	
Less Gratuity (\$25)		25.00	
Net Dinner income (expense)		50.00	
50/50 Raffle:			
Total Sales:	56.00		
Less Winner's half:	28.00		
Net Raffle Income:		28.00	
1100 Club Contributions:			
Other Contributions			
50/50 winner	28.00		
Steve Erker - Badge	5.00		
Annette Erker - Badge	5.00		
Robert Miller - Badge	5.00		
Total		43.00	
Total income (expense) at meeting close:			121.00
Bank Balance at end of Current meeting			\$901.78
Total PC Membership	389		
Quorum (15%)	58.35		
Attendance (from sign-in sheets)			
Precinct Committeemen	61		
Guests	5		

**REPUBLICAN PARTY**

**LEGISLATIVE DISTRICT ELEVEN  
"The Heartland District"**

February 14, 2006

MINUTES for January 10, 2006 meeting